

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/695,429	10/28/2003	David Mathieu	2185.004USU	9460
	22202 75	590 09/27/2005		EXAM	IINER
	WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S C 555 EAST WELLS STREET			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
	<b>SUITE 1900</b>		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			3724	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/695,429	MATHIEU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07/14					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-32,37 and 38 is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-32, 37 and 38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Upon further review of the instant application, a new requirement for election of species is set forth below.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - I. Species in figures 2, 3, 6, 8-12, 17, 18.
  - II. Species in figures 2, 3, 6, 8-12, 19-22.
- III. Species in figures 2, 3, 6, 8-12, 23 and 24 (as disclosed on page 14, line 5, of specification).
  - IV. Species in figures 2, 3, 7-12, 17, 18.
  - V. Species in figures 2, 3, 7-12, 19-22.
  - VI. Species in figures 2, 3, 7-12, 23 and 24.
  - VII. Species in figures 4, 5, 8-10, 13, 14, 17, 18.
  - VIII. Species in figures 4, 5, 8-10, 13, 14, 19-22.
  - IX. Species in figures 4, 5, 8-10, 13, 14, 23 and 24.
  - X. Species in figures 4, 6, 8-10, 13, 14, 17, 18.
  - XI. Species in figures 4, 6, 8-10, 13, 14, 19-22.
  - XII. Species in figures 4, 6, 8-10, 13, 14, 23 and 24.
  - XIII. Species in figures 4, 7-10, 13, 14, 17 and 18.
  - XIV. Species in figures 4, 7-10, 13, 14, 19-22.
  - XV. Species in figures 4, 7-10, 13, 14, 23 and 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,429

Art Unit: 3724

XVI. Species in figures 15 and 16.

- 3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim has been determined to be generic.
- 4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M

IH

September 21, 2005

Alian N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700